

In re Application of: Moshe EINAT et al  
Serial No.: 10/566,481  
Filed: January 31, 2006  
Office Action Mailing Date: February 18, 2010

Examiner: SOLOMON, Lisa  
Group Art Unit: 2861  
Attorney Docket: 31267  
Confirmation No.: 5758

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and the remarks following is respectfully requested.

Claims 38, 40 – 43, 47 and 59 are pending in this Application. Claims 1-37, 40, 41, 44-46, 48-58 and 60-79, and 81 are cancelled in this response. Claim 39 was canceled in a previous response. Claims 38, 40, 42, 43, 47 and 80 are rejected. Claims 38 and 80 have been amended herewith.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Claim 59 was allowed. Claims 41 and 81 were objected to and claims 38 and 80 have been amended to incorporate their subject matter and that of all intervening claims.

In each case the restriction of the feed specifically from a reservoir to a single corresponding nozzle is removed, however it is respectfully believed that this does not invalidate the reasons for allowance.

In view of the above amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that claims 38, 42, 43, 47, 59, and 80 are now in condition for allowance. A prompt notice of allowance is respectfully and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

**/Jason H. Rosenblum/**

Jason H. Rosenblum  
Registration No. 56,437  
Telephone: 718.246.8482

Date: July 12, 2010

**Enclosure:**

- Petition for Extension (Two Months)